

Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 3rd Quarter '02 versus 3rd Quarter '03

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 63 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column C);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column B);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column D);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column G);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column H); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 2,796) and "total decrease" in ADP (-3,057). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 2,796 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 3,057 ADP. Subtracting 2,796 from 3,057 produces the overall decrease of 261 between the third quarter of 2002 and the third quarter of 2003.
2. The Fresno ADP increase of 803 is 36.2% of the total increase of 2,796. Forty-four jurisdictions had increases (down to Napa Corrections Department). When you get to Napa Corrections Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 2,796).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Amador Sheriff's Department to Los Angeles Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 3,057 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Fresno Sheriff's Department to San Bernardino Sheriff's Department) is 54.94%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for about 55% of the total ADP increase. Three jurisdictions (Contra Costa, San Francisco and Los Angeles Sheriff's Departments) account for about 87% of the decreases. Please note that Scapular House will not be reporting on the Jail Profile Survey for 2003, which accounts for approximately 5% of the total decrease.